# Table 6-2 Cost Summary of Remedial Alternatives Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

### Waste

- 1 No Action
- 2 Removal and Off-Site Disposal

### Soil/Fill

- 1 No Action
- 2 Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal
- 3 Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal
- 4 Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, Focused Removal with Off-Site Disposal of Lead, and NAPL Removal
- 5 Institutional Controls, In-Situ Remediation, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal

### Groundwater

- 1 No Action
- 2 Institutional Controls, Site Containment and Pump and Treat
- 3 Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation
- 4 Institutional Controls, Pump and Treat, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation

### Soil Gas

- 1 No Action
- 2 Alternative 2 Institutional Controls, Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls (existing occupied buildings) and Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings)
- 3 Institutional Controls, Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings), and Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls and In-Situ Remediation of Soil/Fill (existing occupied buildings)

### Sewer

- 1 No Action
- 2 Removal and Off-Site Disposal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The vertical barrier component of this alternative contributes approximately \$1,178,000 to the total direct cost.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The vertical barrier component of this alternative contributes approximately \$189,000 to the total direct cost.

Table 6-2
Cost Summary of Remedial Alternatives
Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site
Newark, New Jersey

100000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Total Capital Cost	Annual O&M	Total Net Present Worth
\$0	\$0	\$0
\$1,798,211	\$0	\$1,580,700
\$0	\$0	\$0
\$278,322	\$8,125	\$356,100
\$10,998,185	\$75,000	\$10,600,700
\$13,480,940	\$75,000	\$12,782,900
\$15,077,810	\$68,750	\$14,118,800
######################################	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	<u></u>
\$0	\$0	\$0
\$30,590,844	\$1,125,000	\$34,258,600
\$28,459,770	\$131,250	\$20,844,800
\$12,831,750	\$1,500,000	\$24,234,400
' ', ',	' ', ',	. , , ,
\$0	\$0	\$0
	·	
\$123,525	\$31,500	\$449,800
<b>4.564.006</b>	**	<b>A</b> . 0 <b>m</b> 0 <b>n</b> 0 0
\$4,591,968	\$0	\$4,050,800
\$0	\$0	\$0
\$27,981	\$0	\$24,900

# Table 6-3 Projected Durations of Remedial Alternatives Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

	Projected Dura		
	Pre-Design Investigation and/or Testing	Remedial Design	
Waste		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
1 – No Action			
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	2 -4 month	2 -4 month	
Soil/Fill			
1 – No Action			
2 – Institutional Controls and NAPL Removal	1-2 months	2 -4 months	
3 – Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	6-8 months	6-8 months	
4 - Institutional Controls, Engineering Controls, Focused Removal with Off-Site Disposal of Lead, and NAPL Removal	6-8 months	6-10 months	
5 - Institutional Controls, In-Situ Remediation, Engineering Controls, and NAPL Removal	8-12 months	8-12 months	
Groundwater		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
1 – No Action			
2 – Institutional Controls, Site Containment and Pump and Treat	12-18 months	9-12 months	
3 – Institutional Controls and In-Situ Remediation	6-10 months	6-8 months	
4 - Institutional Controls, Pump and Treat, and Targeted Periodic In-Situ Remediation	12-18 months	9-12 months	
Soil Gas			
1 – No Action			
<ul> <li>2 – Alternative 2 - Institutional Controls, Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls (existing occupied buildings) and Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings)</li> </ul>	<1 month	1-2 months	
3 – Institutional Controls, Site-Wide Engineering Controls (future buildings), and Air Monitoring or Engineering Controls and In-Situ Remediation of Soil/Fill (existing occupied buildings)	4 -6 months	4-6 months	
Sewer			
1 – No Action			
2 – Removal and Off-Site Disposal	1 month	1-2 months	

### Notes:

- 1. Repair of the bulkhead under the Soil/Fill Alternatives 4 and 5 contributes approximately \$3,600,000 to the total direct cost.
- 2. Schedule includes time for regulatory reviews and modifications
- 3. For Soil Gas Alternative 3, it is anticipated that additional rounds of injection would be delayed for 2 to 5 years depending on reag-

Page 3 of 12

# Table 6-3 Projected Durations of Remedial Alternatives Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site Newark, New Jersey

***************************************
On-Site Remedial
Action
1-2 months
1-2 111011015
1 -2 months
6-10 months
o romonino
8-12 months
0.40
8-12 months
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
12-18 months
9-12 months (first round of
injections only)
8-10 months (not including
targeted injections)
<u>-</u> ,
1-2 months
1°Z monais
4-6 months (initial round of
+ o mondia (inidal rodina or
injections)
<1 month

ents.

L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

Page 5 of 12

		Risk Based Concentrations <sup>2</sup>			
Chemical of Potential  Concern <sup>1</sup>		Based on Target ILCR 10-5	Based on Target ILCR 10-4	Based on Target HQ = 1	
Copper	NC	NC	NC	5.3E+02	
Lead					

### Notes:

- 1. Soil concentrations are presented in units of milligrams per kilograms(mg/kg) for chemicals of concern identified for a visitor in the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment.
- 2. Risk based concentrations are a calculated value, see Table X-x for calculation.

The soil PRGs for carcinogenic (Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk; ILCR) are based on a risk range of 1E-06 to 1E-04 and noncarcinogenic (Hazard Quotient; HQ) based on a target hazard index of one.

- 3. PRGs for lead were developed using the IEUBK model. See Table X for IEUBK model outputs.
- 4. ARARs are based on the New Jersey nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standard.
- 5. The Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) was selected according to the following hierarchy:

## Table 2-4 Preliminary Remediation Goals for Groundwater L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

PRG Based on ALM Model<sup>3</sup> ARARs mg/kg<sup>4</sup> Selected PRGs<sup>5</sup> Basis for PRG

--- 4.5E+04 8.0E+02

L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

Page 7 of 12

	Risk Based Concentrations <sup>2</sup>			
Chemical of Potential  Concern <sup>1</sup>	Based on Target ILCR = 10-6	Based on Target ILCR 10-5	Based on Target ILCR 10-4	Based on Target HQ = 1
Lead				

### Notes:

- 1. Soil concentrations are presented in units of milligrams per kilograms(mg/kg) for chemicals of concern identified for an outdoor worker in the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment.
- 2. Risk based concentrations are a calculated value, see Table X-x for calculation.
- The soil PRGs for carcinogenic (Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk; ILCR) are based on a risk range of 1E-06 to 1E-04 and noncarcinogenic (Hazard Quotient; HQ) based on a target hazard index of one.
- 3. PRGs for lead were developed using the IEUBK model. See Table X for IEUBK model outputs.
- 4. ARARs are based on the New Jersey nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standard.
- 5. The Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) was selected according to the following hierarchy:

## Table 2-4 Preliminary Remediation Goals for Groundwater L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

PRG Based on ALM Model<sup>3</sup> ARARs mg/kg<sup>4</sup> Selected PRGs<sup>5</sup> Basis for PRG

8.0E+02

784

L&RR Superfund Site (229620)
Table 2-4 PRGs for Groundwater

L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

Page 9 of 12

	Risk Based Concentrations <sup>2</sup>			
Chemical of Potential  Concern <sup>1</sup>	Based on Target ILCR = 10-6	Based on Target ILCR 10-5	Based on Target ILCR 10-4	Based on Target HQ = 1
Lead				

### Notes:

- 1. Soil concentrations are presented in units of milligrams per kilograms(mg/kg) for chemicals of concern identified for an utility worker in the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment.
- 2. Risk based concentrations are a calculated value, see Table X-x for calculation.
- The soil PRGs for carcinogenic (Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk; ILCR) are based on a risk range of 1E-06 to 1E-04 and noncarcinogenic (Hazard Quotient; HQ) based on a target hazard index of one.
- 3. PRGs for lead were developed using the IEUBK model. See Table X for IEUBK model outputs.
- 4. ARARs are based on the New Jersey nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standard.
- 5. The Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) was selected according to the following hierarchy:

## Table 2-4 Preliminary Remediation Goals for Groundwater L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

PRG Based on ALM Model <sup>3</sup>	ARARs mg/kg <sup>4</sup>	Selected PRGs <sup>5</sup>	Basis for PRG
3292	8.0E+02		

L&RR Superfund Site (229620)
Table 2-4 PRGs for Groundwater

Page 10 of 12 Woodard & Curran, Inc.

L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

	Risk Based Concentrations <sup>2</sup>			
Longern	Based on Target ILCR = 10-6	Based on Target ILCR 10-5	Based on Target ILCR 10-4	Based on Target HQ = 1
Lead				

### Notes:

- 1. Soil concentrations are presented in units of milligrams per kilograms(mg/kg) for chemicals of concern identified for an construction worker in the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment.
- 2. Risk based concentrations are a calculated value, see Table X-x for calculation.
- The soil PRGs for carcinogenic (Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk; ILCR) are based on a risk range of 1E-06 to 1E-04 and noncarcinogenic (Hazard Quotient; HQ) based on a target hazard index of one.
- 3. PRGs for lead were developed using the IEUBK model. See Table X for IEUBK model outputs.
- 4. ARARs are based on the New Jersey nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standard.
- 5. The Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) was selected according to the following hierarchy:

L&RR Superfund Site, North Smithfield, Rhode Island

PRG Based on ALM Model <sup>3</sup>	ARARs mg/kg <sup>4</sup>	Selected PRGs <sup>5</sup>	Basis for PRG
441	8.0E+02		

L&RR Superfund Site (229620)
Table 2-4 PRGs for Groundwater